The Lord in Gethsemane (Lk 22:43-45; Heb 5:7)

Graham G. Thomason, 4 April 2015 Revised 17 January 2023

This article is linked to on the FarAboveAll website here: <u>www.FarAboveAll.com/020_Expository/01_Expository.html</u>

You can read this article using either the Authorized Version or The FarAboveAll translation.

The Lord Jesus Christ came to do God's will (prophesied in Ps 40:6-7, quoted in Heb 10:7).

VERSE	AV (Authorized Version) ¹	FAA (The <i>FarAboveAll</i> Translation)
Heb		which <i>is</i> why, on coming into the world, he
10:5	world, He saith, Sacrifice and offering	says, "You did not desire sacrifice and
	Thou wouldest not, but a body hast	offering, but you have prepared a body for
	Thou prepared Me:	me.
Heb	In burnt offerings and sacrifices for	You did not take pleasure in burnt offerings
10:6	sin Thou hast had no pleasure.	and sin <i>offerings</i> .
Heb	Then said I, Lo, I come (in the volume	Then I said, 'Behold, I have come – in <i>the</i>
10:7	of the book it is written of Me,) to do	scroll of <i>the</i> book it stands written about me
	Thy will, O God.	– to do your will, O God.' "

The Lord was resolute about fulfilling this:

Lk 9:51	And it came to pass, when the time	Now it came to pass, towards the completion
	was come that He should be received	of the days to him being taken up, that he
	up, He stedfastly set His face to go	resolutely disposed himself to go to
	to Jerusalem,	Jerusalem.

But there was some kind of physical attack on Him, which, if it had been successful, would have ended in His premature death, so not according to scripture.

was wont, to the mount of Olives; and	And he went out as <i>was his</i> custom, to the Mount of Olives, and his disciples also followed him.
A 1	When he arrived at the place, he said to them, "Pray that you do not enter into temptation."
	Then he withdrew about a stone's throw from them, and knelt down and prayed.

¹ With capitalized divine pronouns.

Saying, Father, if Thou be willing, remove this cup from Me: nevertheless not My will, but Thine, be done.	And said, "Father, if you are willing to remove this cup from me – but not my will, but yours be done."
And there appeared an angel unto Him from heaven, strengthening Him.	Then an angel from heaven appeared to him, strengthening him,
And being in an agony He prayed more earnestly: and His sweat was as it were great drops of blood falling down to the ground.	but being in agony, he prayed <i>all the</i> more intensely. Furthermore his sweat had become like clots of blood falling to the ground.
And when He rose up from prayer, and was come to His disciples, He found them sleeping for sorrow,	Then he arose from prayer and went to the disciples and found them lying asleep from sorrow.

Many will tell us that Jesus asked God to remove the crucifixion from Him, but that cannot be the case. The Lord Jesus Christ was determined to fulfil His mission. He never flinched at anything that happened in His kangaroo-court trial by the high priest, nor when He was led up to the cross or when He was on the cross.

To suggest that Christ was trying to duck out of the crucifixion would make Him a coward, not an overcomer, and would leave Him as a terrible example for us who are supposed to follow His lead.

Phil 2:5	Let this mind be in you, which was	So have this frame of mind in you, which is
	also in Christ Jesus:	also in Christ Jesus,

How can it be like this?

Jesus: Please God, let me avoid the crucifixion. God: No, you get on with your job.

Hebrews tells us that there was no disagreement between Christ and His Father:

Heb 5:7	Who in the days of His flesh, when	And in the days of his flesh he made
	He had offered up prayers and	supplications and entreaties with loud cries
	supplications with strong crying and	and tears to him <i>who was</i> able to save him
	tears unto Him That was able to save	from death, and he was heard because of
	Him from death, and was heard in	his devoutness,
	that He feared;	

Christ was heard because He knew He was under attack, and He needed to be given strength to survive it and continue with His mission.

Now in recent times, there is a more subtle way in which this teaching is under attack. It is by altering scripture itself, and Christians need to know about it. Let's see what the Revised Standard Version has in Luke's Gospel:

Revised Standard Version

temptation." ⁴¹And he withdrew from them about a stone's throw, and knelt down and prayed, ⁴² "Father, if thou art willing, remove this cup from me; nevertheless not my will, but thine, be done."¹ ⁴⁵And when he rose from prayer, he came to the disciples and found them sleeping for sorrow, ⁴⁶ and

In the main text, which is all that would be read in a typical public reading, the episode of the attack on Him has been deleted! There is a footnote giving the missing verses, but they are not credited with authenticity.

¹Other ancient authorities add verses 43 and 44:⁴³And there appeared to him an angel from heaven, strengthening him. ⁴⁴And being in an agony he prayed more earnestly; and his sweat became like great drops of blood falling down upon the ground.

Let's see how it's presented in NA²⁶, (Nestle-Aland, 26th edition):

Γπροσηύχετο 42 λέγων πάτερ, 'εἰ βούλει Γπαρένεγκε τοῦτο τὸ ποτήριον ἀπ' ἐμοῦ πλὴν μὴ τὸ θέλημά μου ἀλλὰ τὸ σὸν γινέσθω. [[43 ὥφθη δὲ αὐτῷ ἄγγελος ἀπ' Τοὐρανοῦ ἐνισχύων αὐτόν. 44 καὶ γενόμενος ἐν ἀγωνία ἐκτενέστερον προσηύχετο 'καὶ ἐγένετο' ὁ ἱδρὼς αὐτοῦ ὡσεὶ θρόμβοι αἵματος Γκαταβαίνοντες ἐπὶ τὴν γῆν.]] 45 καὶ

(R) $f^{13} 892 al \downarrow -\epsilon\gamma \kappa \epsilon \bar{\iota} v A W \Psi \mathfrak{M} \downarrow txt \mathfrak{P}^{75} B$ (D) T $\Theta f^{1} 1241 al \bullet [43/44] om.$ $\mathfrak{P}^{(69vid),75} \aleph^{1} A B N R T W 579. 1071^{*} pc f sy^{8} sa bo^{pt}$; Hier^{mss} ($f^{13} om. hic et pon. p. Mt$ $26,39) \downarrow add. (pt. c. obel.) \aleph^{*.2} D L \Theta \Psi 0171 f^{1} \mathfrak{M} lat sy^{c.p.h} bo^{pt}$; Hier^{mss} | T ($\alpha \pi o$) του $D \Theta \Psi pc$ | ($\epsilon \gamma$. $\delta \epsilon D L \Theta \mathfrak{M} \downarrow txt \aleph^{*} \Psi f^{1.(13)} al$ | Γ-νοντος $\aleph^{*.2} pc$ lat sy^p • 45 T

The Introduction says,

Readings enclosed in double brackets, $\circlete I$, however, are known not to be a part of the original text.

So NA²⁶ claims the omission is a fact. The apparatus shows that Vaticanus omits the text, but Sinaiticus (first hand) contains it. The symbol \mathfrak{M} stands for the Majority Text (over a thousand manuscripts here), and, in NA²⁶, any of the "constant witnesses²" not listed in opposing readings. That is how NA²⁶ obscures the evidence of the important uncials K Q $\Gamma\Delta$.

² See p.50 of the Introduction.

Let's look at the evidence, from Reuben Swanson's presentation. Although the bulk of manuscripts are compressed into the symbol \mathfrak{M} , the Majority Text, we get a clearer picture of the evidence. N.B. Swanson is not a supporter of the Majority Text.

43-44 om.		B 275 NC	ANTW 13* 69 1	24 788 579 [uw]
43 ὤφθη δὲ αὐτῷ ἄγγελος	άπ' ούρανοῦ έ	νισχύων αύτόν. 44 κ		**
43 ὥφθη δὲ αὐτῷ ἄγγελος		νισχύων αύτόν. 44 κ		DU01071° [w]
43 ὤφθη δὲ αὐτῷ ἄγ		44		F
43 ὥφθη δὲ αὐτῷ ἄγγελος		ένισχύων αύτόν. 44 κ		Υ
				and the second sec
43 ὥφθη δὲ αὐτῷ ἄγγελος κυρίοι		νισχύων αύτόν. 44 κ		1424
43 ὤφθη δὲ αὐτῷ ἄγγελος	άπ' ούρανοῦ έ	ένισχύων αύτόν. 44 κ		MKLMQΓΔ
			ΛΠf ¹ 2 157 565	700 1346 [uw]τ
om.			B P75 NC ANTW	13* 69 579 [uw]
έν άγωνία έκτενέστερον προσηύ	ύγετο και έγένετο	ίδρώς αύτοῦ ώσεὶ θ		
έν άγωνία έκτενέστερον προσηύ			ορόμβοι D	
έν άγωνία έκτενέστερον προσηύ		ίδρώς αύτοῦ ώσεὶ θ		Iwn
er ufwrig er tereotepor spoolo				
έν άγωνία έκτενέστερον προσηύ			F - F	
έν άγωνία έκτενέστερον προσηύ	σχετο εγενετο σε ο	ίδρώς αύτοῦ ώσεὶ θ		ΜQUΓΔΘΛΠ
			565 700 1071	c 1346 1424 [w]t
lac. 22.41-44 p45 C P 33 28 vss. 43-44	l F		the state of the	
Α 41 ωση 69 579 1071 γονα Μ προση Π 13 1582 579 παραινεγκειν 2* τουτ ωσι κ* ωσαι Λ	χετο F* 42 βουλι N Η ! β τω 579 43 ενισχυον Κ 2*	ουλη FG Δ Θ Λ 13 700 13 ενησχυων L 44 αγονια L	46 η (ει) 579 πα . Μ προσηχετο G	ρενεγκαι 🕅 Κ L Μ ιδρος 2* 565
Β 42 περ Α Ε F G Y K L M Q S U Γ Δ 43 κυ 1424 ουνου F G H Y K S U Γ Λ	Θ Λ Π Ψ Ω f ¹ 118 f ¹³ θ Ψ Ω f ¹ 118 2 157 700 10	59 124 2 157 565 579 700 71° 1346 1424 ουουνου	788 1071 1346 14 L	24 κε Η πηρ Ν
C 42 αρξ (ante πλην) Λ αρξ της μ,γα ε αρξ της ε G Ω αρχ Υ 157 αρξ Μ	Ε 118 (ante Πατερ) αρχ τ	τη αγ΄ και μγ΄ ε 157 υπ τς	; τ̃ f ¹ 118 43 αρξ τ	ης μεγας $\bar{\mathbf{\epsilon}}$: E H f^1
D 42 $\overline{\sigma\pi\beta}$ (ante πατερ D 157) F K $f^1 2$ $\overline{\sigma\pi\beta/\iota} \Psi \mid E υ Λο \overline{\sigma\pi\beta} : Iω \overline{\mu\beta} : Mθ \overline{σ\overline{q}\epsilon} :$	565 579 1346 (ante KE H) $\sigma \pi \beta / \alpha$ (ante $\pi \epsilon \rho$) E G Y : Mo ρο5 : Mt σ95 124 (ΝυΓΛΠ1181	24 788 1071 1424

We see that the physical attack on the Lord has very good textual support, especially when we remember that the symbol \mathfrak{M} stands for over a thousand manuscripts.

Rest assured that the Majority Text is the true text. Dr Leslie McFall, and on a smaller scale, I, have shown in separate studies that when Sinaiticus and Vaticanus differ, one of the readings is almost always the Majority Text. And it is the Majority Text that has "God was manifested in the flesh" in 1 Tim 3:16, Sinaiticus being a rogue with just 7 out of about 570 minuscules as support.

Not only is the account of the physical attack on the Lord itself under attack in Luke's gospel. Hebrews 5:7 is under attack by textual critics, even though there is not a single manuscript to support the change which is conjectured. Below is NA²⁶ on the matter.

7 ος έν ταῖς ἡμέραις τῆς σαρκὸς αὐτοῦ δεήσεις τε καὶ ἰκετηρίας πρὸς τὸν δυνάμενον σώζειν αὐτὸν ἐκ θανάτου μετὰ κραυγῆς ἰσχυρᾶς καὶ δακρύων προσενέγκας καὶ [¬] εἰσακουσθεὶς ἀπὸ τῆς εὐλαβείας, 8 καίπερ ὢν υίός, ἕμα-

• 7 [Touk Harnack cj]

We see that Adolf von Harnack, who was a German Lutheran theologian and prominent church historian, has made a conjectural change to the text, which obviously meets with credibility in the eyes of NA²⁶ (otherwise the entry would not be there): he conjectures that the text should add the word "not" and read:

And in the days of his flesh he made supplications and entreaties with loud cries and tears to him who was able to save him from death, and he was **not** heard ...

In other words, we are back to Jesus in contention with God, but this time not via interpretation, but via a textual argument (even without textual evidence!), so we are back to this scenario:

Jesus: Please God, let me avoid the crucifixion.

God: No, I will not hear you. You get on with your job.

Harnack said [from Wikipedia]:

That the earth in its course stood still; that a she-ass spoke; that a storm was quieted by a word, we do not believe, and we shall never again believe; but that the lame walked, the blind saw, and the deaf heard will not be so summarily dismissed as an illusion.

To Harnack, God who created the world is incapable of making the earth stand still, making an ass speak, or quieting a storm. Presumably he has no place for the resurrection.

Let us rejoice that our Lord had a very different spirit, and remember what He did for us.

Lk 9:51	And it came to pass, when the time	Now it came to pass, towards the completion
	was come that He should be received	of the days to him being taken up, that he
	up, He stedfastly set His face to go	resolutely disposed himself to go to
	to Jerusalem,	Jerusalem.

Christ accomplished His mission, was crucified, and was resurrected after three days and three nights, and became the assurance of resurrection for all those who believe.

Jn 11:25	Jesus said unto her, I am the	Jesus said to her, "I am the resurrection and
	resurrection, and the life: he that	the life. He <i>who</i> believes in me, even if he
	believeth in Me, though he were dead,	dies, he will live.
	yet shall he live:	

Let's conclude, not with just the resurrection, but with the out-resurrection.

Phil 3:7	But what things were gain to me, those I counted loss for Christ.	but whatever <i>things were</i> gains to me, those I consider a loss because of Christ –
Phil 3:8	Yea doubtless, and I count all things <i>but</i> loss for the excellency of the knowledge of Christ Jesus my Lord: for Whom I have suffered the loss of all things, and do count them <i>but</i> dung, that I may win Christ,	knowledge of Christ Jesus my Lord, for
Phil 3:9	And be found in Him, not having mine own righteousness, which is of the law, but that which is through the faith of Christ, the righteousness which is of God by faith:	and that I may be found in him, not having my own righteousness which <i>is</i> from <i>the</i> law, but that <i>which is</i> through faith in Christ, the righteousness from God <i>based</i> on faith,
	That I may know Him, and the power of His resurrection , and the fellowship of His sufferings, being made conformable unto His death;	so as to know him and the power of his resurrection and the fellowship of his sufferings, being conformed to his death,
	If by any means I might attain unto the resurrection of the dead.	if somehow I can attain to the out- resurrection of the dead.

References

NA ²⁶	(Nestle-Aland 26 th edition text) Nestle-Aland, <i>Novum Testamentum Graece</i> , 26 Auflage, Deutsche Bibelgesellschaft, P.O.Box 810340, 7000 Stuttgart 80, Germany.
RSV	The Revised Standard Version, Second Edition, 1971. Published by Collins.
Swanson	Reuben Swanson (Editor), New Testament Greek Manuscripts, Luke. Sheffield Academic Press / William Carey International University Press. ISBN 1-85075-774-7.

Brief quotations from these references have been embodied in this critical article.